

RATIONAL INQUIRY AND THE BIRTH OF CRITICAL THINKING

Saakyan Roza Yurevna

Asia International University

Article information:

Manuscript received: 05 Jul 2025; **Accepted:** 06 Aug 2025; **Published:** 24 Sep 2025

Abstract: This article examines polemics as a crucial intellectual practice in the Enlightenment era and its influence on the birth of critical thinking. The Enlightenment thinkers relied not only on rational inquiry but also on polemical debates as a method of testing ideas, challenging dogmas, and promoting freedom of thought. Polemics, both in written and oral forms, became a tool for confronting established traditions and constructing new philosophical and social paradigms. By analyzing the works of Locke, Voltaire, Rousseau, Kant, and other figures, the article demonstrates how polemical discourse helped establish the foundations of modern critical reasoning and intellectual independence. The legacy of Enlightenment polemics remains relevant today, providing strategies for rational debate and constructive dialogue in education, politics, and intercultural communication.

Keywords: Polemics; Enlightenment; critical thinking; rational discourse; intellectual independence.

Introduction. Polemics has historically been a central component of intellectual life, serving as a method for the rigorous examination of ideas, the resolution of disputes, and the advancement of knowledge. Unlike ordinary argumentation, polemics involves a structured and principled engagement with opposing viewpoints, requiring clarity of reasoning, evidence-based argumentation, and attention to ethical persuasion. During the Enlightenment, polemical discourse became particularly significant, reflecting the era's commitment to reason, critique, and intellectual emancipation. Thinkers such as John Locke, Voltaire, Jean-Jacques Rousseau, and Immanuel Kant utilized polemics not merely to assert personal opinions but to challenge entrenched dogmas, promote freedom of thought, and foster a culture of debate and reflection.

Enlightenment polemics was not limited to philosophy; it extended to politics, literature, religion, and the sciences. Written pamphlets, essays, and public letters provided platforms for intellectual confrontation, while salons and academic societies encouraged face-to-face debates. These exchanges emphasized rational argumentation, respect for evidence, and the ability to critically engage with counterarguments. In this sense, polemics functioned as both a cognitive and social tool: it sharpened analytical skills, clarified conceptual distinctions, and contributed to the development of informed public opinion.

The study of polemics in the Enlightenment is particularly important because it illuminates the mechanisms through which rational discourse and critical thinking emerged as defining features of modern intellectual culture. By analyzing key texts and debates, one can trace how polemical methods shaped educational practices, literary criticism, and the formation

of political ideology. Moreover, the legacy of Enlightenment polemics continues to inform contemporary approaches to dialogue, argumentation, and intercultural communication, demonstrating the enduring relevance of structured intellectual confrontation in fostering reasoned judgment.

Literature Review. Scholarship on polemics in the Enlightenment has highlighted its multifaceted role in intellectual, social, and political contexts. Early studies emphasized the rhetorical and literary aspects of polemics, showing how stylistic choices such as irony, satire, and allegory were employed to persuade audiences while avoiding direct confrontation. More recent research situates polemics within broader epistemological and philosophical frameworks, emphasizing its function as a method of critical inquiry and knowledge production.

John Locke's writings on toleration and rational debate exemplify the interplay between polemical engagement and the establishment of ethical principles for discourse. Voltaire's prolific letters, essays, and pamphlets demonstrate the power of irony and wit in challenging authoritarian institutions, while Rousseau's critical exchanges with contemporaries illustrate the use of polemics to refine and defend political and moral theories. Immanuel Kant further systematized the epistemic value of debate, arguing that reasoned argumentation and engagement with objections are essential to the development of autonomous judgment.

In addition to philosophical texts, historians of ideas have examined the social infrastructure supporting polemical activity. Salons, academies, and print culture provided spaces for the circulation of disputative writings, creating networks of intellectual exchange that amplified the impact of polemical reasoning. These studies collectively demonstrate that polemics in the Enlightenment was not merely confrontational but constructive: it advanced knowledge, cultivated critical faculties, and reinforced norms of rational public discourse.

Methods. This study adopts an interdisciplinary approach to examine the nature, structure, and cultural functions of polemics in Enlightenment thought. The research combines methods from intellectual history, literary analysis, and rhetorical studies. The primary material consists of philosophical essays, pamphlets, letters, and public debates by key Enlightenment thinkers, including John Locke, Voltaire, Jean-Jacques Rousseau, Immanuel Kant, and Denis Diderot. In addition, secondary sources such as historical analyses, contemporary commentaries, and modern scholarship on rhetoric and argumentation were used to contextualize and interpret the primary texts.

The research procedure involved three main steps. First, a textual analysis was conducted to identify polemical passages and determine the strategies used by authors to present and defend their arguments. Particular attention was paid to rhetorical devices, such as irony, metaphor, analogies, and satirical elements, as well as the structure of logical reasoning employed in the arguments. Second, a contextual-historical analysis was performed to situate the polemical texts within their socio-political and cultural milieu. This included an examination of the historical circumstances of the debates, the intended audience, and the political or religious controversies that shaped the content and style of the polemics. Third, a comparative analysis was applied to identify patterns and divergences across different thinkers and genres. This step allowed the researcher to trace common rhetorical techniques, ethical norms of discourse, and thematic concerns across philosophical, political, and literary domains.

Additionally, the study employed a qualitative coding system to categorize the types of polemical strategies observed in the texts. Categories included constructive argumentation, refutation of counterarguments, moral evaluation, use of satire or irony, appeals to

authority, and appeals to reason or evidence. This systematic approach enabled a comprehensive understanding of how polemics functioned both as a method of intellectual engagement and as a social practice in the Enlightenment.

By combining textual, contextual, and comparative methods, the research illuminates the complex interplay between logic, rhetoric, and cultural values in Enlightenment polemics. It demonstrates how structured intellectual confrontation served not only to resolve disputes but also to shape public discourse, reinforce norms of rational debate, and promote critical thinking as a central cultural ideal.

Results. The analysis of Enlightenment texts reveals that polemics served multiple functions: intellectual, social, and cultural. First, polemics provided a structured method for presenting and defending ideas. Authors like John Locke and Voltaire used polemical writing to confront established doctrines, challenge authority, and propose reforms in philosophy, politics, and religion. For example, Locke's arguments on religious tolerance directly addressed opposing views that favored coercion, employing clear logical reasoning, counterexamples, and appeals to moral principles.

Second, rhetorical strategies in polemical texts were highly diverse and purposeful. Irony, satire, and metaphor were frequently used to highlight the absurdity of opposing positions. Voltaire, in his pamphlets and letters, often combined humor with sharp critique, disarming opponents while reinforcing his own arguments. Similarly, Rousseau's polemics frequently involved moral evaluation of political and social norms, appealing to the audience's sense of justice and ethical reasoning. This use of rhetorical devices not only strengthened the persuasiveness of arguments but also enhanced their memorability and public impact.

Third, polemics served as a means of shaping public discourse and fostering critical thinking. The analysis shows that debates were not only aimed at immediate opponents but also at the broader reading public. Authors structured their arguments in ways that encouraged readers to question assumptions, evaluate evidence, and consider multiple perspectives. Kant's essays on reason and enlightenment exemplify this approach: his polemical writing challenges both dogmatic tradition and radical skepticism, urging the audience to exercise independent judgment.

Fourth, the study identified recurring patterns in polemical strategies. Constructive argumentation involved presenting one's own position with supporting evidence and reasoned justification. Refutation of counterarguments often relied on logic, historical examples, or ethical critique. Satirical and ironic techniques were particularly effective in discrediting opponents and highlighting contradictions in their reasoning. Appeals to authority, such as referencing classical philosophers or widely respected thinkers, lent credibility to the arguments, while appeals to reason emphasized the universality and objectivity of claims.

Finally, the comparative analysis demonstrates that Enlightenment polemics shared certain structural and thematic characteristics across national and linguistic contexts. French, English, and German thinkers often employed similar strategies, such as the systematic refutation of counterclaims and the use of rhetorical questions to engage readers. However, stylistic differences reflected cultural norms: French polemics favored wit and irony, English polemics emphasized rational clarity and empiricism, and German polemics integrated philosophical rigor with ethical argumentation.

These results suggest that polemics in the Enlightenment were not merely confrontational discourse but a sophisticated intellectual practice. They reveal the interplay between reason, rhetoric, and cultural ideals, demonstrating how structured debate facilitated both the advancement of knowledge and the cultivation of critical public engagement.

Discussion. The findings highlight that polemics during the Enlightenment were far more than mere disputes; they were carefully structured intellectual practices that combined reason, rhetoric, and cultural values. Unlike ordinary argumentation, Enlightenment polemics aimed at advancing knowledge, refining ideas, and shaping public opinion. By analyzing the works of Locke, Voltaire, Rousseau, and Kant, it becomes clear that polemics functioned as both a method of persuasion and a tool for critical reflection. Authors used logical argumentation, moral reasoning, and rhetorical strategies to challenge prevailing norms and stimulate thoughtful engagement among readers.

One important aspect is the dual role of polemics as both personal and public discourse. On the one hand, polemical texts addressed specific opponents, refuting particular claims and correcting perceived errors. On the other hand, they were designed to reach a wider audience, shaping cultural norms and guiding social debate. This duality enhanced the effectiveness of polemics, making it a key instrument in the dissemination of Enlightenment ideals such as reason, liberty, tolerance, and scientific inquiry.

Rhetorical strategies, including irony, satire, and metaphor, played a crucial role in these intellectual exchanges. Voltaire's satirical letters, for example, not only undermined opponents but also engaged readers emotionally, making the critique memorable and impactful. Similarly, Rousseau and Kant employed ethical and philosophical reasoning to highlight contradictions in traditional authority and encourage independent thinking. These strategies illustrate that polemics was not solely about refutation; it was about guiding readers toward reflection and intellectual autonomy.

The comparative analysis reveals a significant cross-cultural dimension. While French polemics emphasized stylistic elegance and wit, English polemics focused on empiricism and clarity, and German polemics integrated rigorous philosophical reasoning with ethical critique. Despite these stylistic differences, all shared a commitment to structured argumentation, rational persuasion, and engagement with social and cultural issues. This shows that Enlightenment polemics, though contextually diverse, functioned as a unifying intellectual tool across Europe.

Furthermore, the study highlights the enduring relevance of Enlightenment polemics for modern intellectual and educational practices. Contemporary debates in politics, science, and ethics continue to rely on principles established during this period: logical reasoning, evidence-based argumentation, respect for opposing views, and engagement with the public. Enlightenment polemics demonstrates how critical discourse can serve as a mechanism for social progress, the dissemination of knowledge, and the cultivation of critical thinking skills.

In conclusion, the discussion emphasizes that polemics during the Enlightenment was not merely confrontational; it was a sophisticated form of intellectual interaction. It combined reasoning, rhetoric, and ethical considerations to challenge authority, clarify ideas, and foster public engagement. By examining these historical practices, we gain insight into how structured debate shapes cultural, educational, and philosophical development, offering valuable lessons for contemporary discourse.

Conclusion. The analysis of polemics in the Enlightenment demonstrates that intellectual disputes were far more than confrontations of opinion. They functioned as structured dialogues that combined rational argumentation, ethical reflection, and rhetorical skill. Polemical texts allowed thinkers such as Voltaire, Rousseau, Locke, and Kant to challenge dogma, critique social norms, and engage both specific opponents and the wider public. Through irony, satire, metaphor, and logical reasoning, these authors not only refuted ideas but also encouraged readers to critically evaluate assumptions and develop independent thought.

Polemics served multiple functions: it was a method of knowledge production, a tool for cultural and social influence, and a framework for moral and philosophical reflection. The comparative analysis shows that, despite stylistic differences between French, English, and German Enlightenment traditions, all emphasized structured reasoning, evidence-based argumentation, and the cultivation of public discourse. The principles and methods developed during this period continue to be relevant in contemporary debates, demonstrating the enduring power of reasoned, ethical, and well-structured discourse.

In summary, Enlightenment polemics represents a crucial intersection of logic, rhetoric, and cultural values, illustrating how intellectual conflict can advance knowledge, foster critical thinking, and shape society. Understanding these historical practices provides insights into contemporary approaches to argumentation, debate, and public reasoning.

The list of used literature

1. Mineev S.A. Polemika — disput — diskussiya [Polemics — Dispute — Discussion]. Moscow: Nauka, 2005. 248 p.
2. Pavlova L.G. Spor, diskussiya, polemika [Argument, Discussion, Polemics]. St. Petersburg: SPbGU Publishing, 2010. 176 p.
3. Badiou A. Polemika [Polemics]. Moscow: Ad Marginem Press, 2012. 192 p.
4. Habermas J. Teoriya kommunikativnogo deystviya [Theory of Communicative Action]. Moscow: Respublika, 2000. 416 p.
5. Саакян Р.Ю. Основные подходы к изучению просвещения и джадидизма. *Medicine, pedagogy and technology: theory and practice*, 3(1), 183–188.
6. Саакян Р.Ю. Историческое развитие жанров памфлета и полемики в европе: от средневековья до эпохи просвещения. *Medicine. Pedagogy and technology: theory and practice*, 2(10), 199-204.
7. Саакян Р.Ю. ПОЛЕМИЧЕСКИЕ ИДЕИ АБДУРАУФА ФИТРАТА В КОНТЕКСТЕ ДЖАДИДИЗМА: ВКЛАД В СОЦИАЛЬНЫЕ РЕФОРМЫ И ЛИТЕРАТУРУ УЗБЕКИСТАНА». *MEDICINE, PEDAGOGY AND TECHNOLOGY: THEORY AND PRACTICE*, 2(11), 88-95.
8. Saakyan R.Yu. The Evolution of Polemics: From Ancient Philosophy to Digital Discourse. *Qo'qon DPI. Ilmiy xabarlar 2025-yil 3-son* __A seriya 2025.P 888-897
9. Saakyan R.Yu. THE INFLUENCE OF DANIEL DEFOE ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE POLEMIC AND PAMPHLET GENRES IN 17TH–18TH CENTURY ENGLISH LITERATURE. *The Lingua Spectrum Journal Vol.3 (2025 yil mart)* , 2025.P.127-133
10. Saakyan R.Yu. RHETORICAL POLEMICS AND INTELLECTUAL CONFRONTATION IN CLASSICAL ANTIQUITY. "NEW RENAISSANCE"
11. Саакян Р.Ю. «ВЛИЯНИЕ ПРОСВЕТИТЕЛЕЙ НА РАЗВИТИЕ КРИТИЧЕСКОГО МЫШЛЕНИЯ» *Modern Science and research journal* January 27, 1039-1047 , 2025..
12. Саакян Р.Ю. «Полемика в эпоху античности» vol. 3 no. 3 (2025): *Modern scientific researc Yurevna, S. R. (2024). THE FORMATION OF THE PHILOSOPHICAL AND AESTHETIC VIEWS OF THEODORE DREISER, A PROMINENT REPRESENTATIVE OF NATURALISM. Western European Journal of Linguistics and Education*, 2(5), 171-174.
13. Yurevna, S. R., & Djalilovna, J. L. (2023). IRONY IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE

FICTION AS AN EXPRESSION OF THE AUTHOR'S WORLDVIEW. *Intent Research Scientific Journal*, 2(10), 135-140.

14. Yurevna, S. R., & Jalilovna, J. L. (2024). A COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION OF IRONY IN THEODORE DREISER'S "THE FINANCIER". *Ta'limda raqamli texnologiyalarni tadbiq etishning zamonaviy tendensiyalari va rivojlanish omillari*, 28(1), 143-146.
15. Yurevna, S. R. (2023). Irony in English-Language Fiction as an Expression of the Author's Worldview on the Example of Theodore Dreiser's Creativity. *Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture*, 4(4), 42-46.
16. Yurevna, S. R., & Djalilovna, J. L. (2023). IRONY IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE FICTION AS AN EXPRESSION OF THE AUTHOR'S WORLDVIEW. *International Research Scientific Journal*, 2(10), 135-140.