

THE DIACHRONIC FORMATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF KINSHIP TERMS: A LINGUISTIC PERSPECTIVE

Ochilova Gulnora Ulashevna

Teacher of the Samarkand state institute of foreign languages

Article information:

Manuscript received: 05 Nov 2025; **Accepted:** 06 Dec 2025; **Published:** 12 Jan 2026

Abstract: Kinship terms constitute one of the most ancient and culturally loaded lexical fields in human language. Their persistence across centuries, combined with continuous semantic and functional change, makes them a valuable object of diachronic linguistic research. This article investigates the formation and historical development of kinship nouns from a diachronic perspective, focusing on how social structure, cultural norms, and linguistic evolution have shaped kinship terminology over time.

Keywords: diachrony, kinship terms, historical linguistics, semantic change, lexical evolution, social structure.

Introduction.

Diachronic linguistics focuses on the study of language change across time, seeking to explain how and why linguistic systems evolve. Vocabulary, as the most socially sensitive component of language, reflects historical processes with particular clarity. Among lexical fields, kinship terminology occupies a special place due to its deep roots in human cognition, culture, and social organization. Kinship terms are among the earliest acquired lexical items, the most resistant to borrowing, and the most revealing of cultural norms.

Although kinship terms are often perceived as stable and universal, diachronic research shows that they undergo continuous transformation. Changes in family structure, marriage systems, inheritance patterns, and social hierarchy all contribute to the reshaping of kinship terminology. As societies evolve from clan-based systems to modern nuclear families, the linguistic encoding of kinship relations also adapts.

The purpose of this article is to provide a comprehensive diachronic analysis of kinship nouns, examining their historical formation, semantic evolution, and functional development. The study addresses the following questions: (1) What are the historical origins of kinship terms? (2) What types of semantic changes do they undergo over time? (3) How do social and cultural factors influence their diachronic development? By addressing these issues, the article highlights the dynamic nature of kinship terminology within the broader framework of language change.

Theoretical Background. The diachronic study of kinship terminology is grounded in several theoretical traditions. Historical linguistics provides tools for reconstructing earlier stages of language and tracing lexical continuity. Lexical semantics offers models for understanding meaning change, including semantic narrowing, broadening, amelioration, pejoration, metaphor, and metonymy. Anthropological linguistics, in turn, emphasizes the relationship between language and social structure. Kinship terms form a structured

semantic field based on oppositions such as generation (parent vs. child), gender (male vs. female), lineage (maternal vs. paternal), and affinity (blood relations vs. marital relations). Diachronic change often affects these oppositions, leading to the reorganization of the entire system. Therefore, kinship terminology must be studied as a system rather than as isolated lexical items.

Historical Origins of Kinship Terms. Kinship terminology emerged in early human societies as a means of organizing social relations and regulating behavior. In prehistoric communities, kinship determined access to resources, marriage eligibility, and social obligations. Consequently, the earliest kinship terms were closely linked to survival and group cohesion.

Comparative-historical linguistics reveals that many core kinship terms can be reconstructed for proto-languages. For example, Proto-Indo-European roots for *father*, *mother*, *brother*, and *daughter* are attested across numerous descendant languages. This remarkable continuity suggests that primary kinship relations constitute a universal conceptual core. However, secondary and extended kinship terms show greater variability, reflecting culture-specific social structures.

Semantic Change in Kinship Terminology. Semantic change is a central aspect of the diachronic development of kinship terms. One common process is semantic narrowing, whereby a term that originally denoted a broad category of relatives comes to refer to a more specific relationship. Conversely, semantic broadening allows kinship terms to extend beyond their original biological meaning. For instance, historical evidence shows that kinship terms are frequently employed metaphorically to express social solidarity, authority, or intimacy. Terms such as *brother* and *sister* have been used to denote members of religious orders, political movements, or social groups. Diachronically, such metaphorical uses may become conventionalized or remain context-dependent. Another important process is semantic shift resulting from changes in family structure. As extended family systems give way to nuclear families, distinctions between certain relatives may lose relevance, leading to semantic simplification or lexical loss.

Functional Expansion and Pragmatic Development. Beyond semantic change, kinship terms undergo functional expansion in discourse. Diachronically, they acquire pragmatic functions such as expressing respect, politeness, affection, or social distance. In many languages, kinship nouns are used as forms of address toward non-relatives, reflecting social norms and power relations. Historically, such pragmatic uses develop gradually and become entrenched through repeated interaction. The diachronic study of these developments reveals how kinship terms transition from purely referential expressions to multifunctional discourse markers.

Socio-Cultural Determinants of Change. Language change is inseparable from social change. The evolution of kinship terminology is closely linked to transformations in social organization, including marriage practices, inheritance laws, and gender roles. For example, shifts toward gender equality may influence the salience of gender distinctions in kinship terms. Historical periods marked by social upheaval often leave traces in kinship terminology. The emergence of new family forms and social roles may necessitate the creation of new terms or the redefinition of existing ones. Thus, kinship terminology serves as a linguistic archive of social history.

Stability and Innovation in Kinship Systems. Despite ongoing change, kinship terminology exhibits remarkable stability. Core kinship terms tend to resist replacement and maintain continuity across generations. This stability can be attributed to their high frequency of use and cognitive salience. At the same time, peripheral terms are more susceptible to innovation and loss. Diachronic analysis allows scholars to distinguish between stable and

dynamic elements within kinship systems, providing a nuanced understanding of lexical change.

Conclusion. The diachronic formation and development of kinship terms demonstrate the intricate relationship between language, culture, and society. Although kinship nouns belong to the most conservative layers of vocabulary, they continuously adapt to changing social realities through semantic shift, functional expansion, and systemic reorganization. This article underscores the importance of diachronic analysis in revealing the historical depth and cultural significance of kinship terminology. Future research may extend this approach through contrastive and corpus-based studies, further illuminating universal patterns and language-specific developments in kinship systems.

THE LIST OF USED LITERATURE:

1. Fortes, M. Kinship and the Social Order. New York: Aldine Publishing Co. 1969.
2. Gulnora Ochilova, CLASSIFICATION OF UZBEK KINSHIP TERMS , Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research Spirit: 2024: Exploring Global Perspectives in Language Teaching and Learning.
3. Gulnora Ochilova. (2025). Investigation of the Parameters of Marital Kinship. *American Journal of Language, Literacy and Learning in STEM Education* (2993-2769), 3(3), 173–177.
4. Mohammad Asad. A Phonological Analysis of Marital Kinship Terms in Maithili and Bengali Languages: A Descriptive Study. Mizoram University journal of humanities and social sciences. Vol.VII, Issue 2. December 2021. P. 173-184
5. Ochilova Gulnora Ulashevna. (2023). The concept of “kinship” and its use in languages of different systems. *Eurasian Research Bulletin*, 19, 301–304.