



Article

Analysis of Government Financial Assistance for Small Business Entities in Uzbekistan

Aymurzaeva Gulnaz*¹

1. Researcher, Tashkent State University of Uzbekistan

Correspondance:: gulnazaymurzaeva02@gmail.com

Citation: Sabirova qizi, N. K. The Importance and Necessity of Improving Audit Activities In Ensuring Transparency, Trust, and Efficiency In the Economy. American Journal of Economics and Business Management 2026, 9(6), 424-432.

Received: 07th Jan 2026

Revised: 24th Feb 2026

Accepted: 14th Mar 2026

Published: 16th June 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Abstract: *Small and medium-sized firms (SMEs) are globally acknowledged as pivotal catalysts for economic expansion, job creation, innovation, and regional advancement. In developing and transitional economies, governmental financial support channels are essential for addressing market inefficiencies and enhancing access to capital for entrepreneurial ventures. This study aims to evaluate the efficacy of public financial assistance to small business entities in Uzbekistan and its impact on economic development from 2020 to 2025. The research utilises comparative analysis, statistical techniques, trend analysis, and the synthesis of global experiences. The results indicate that governmental assistance mechanisms, such as preferential loans, subsidies, guarantees, tax incentives, and entrepreneurship development initiatives, substantially enhance firm growth, job creation, export advancement, and investment activity. Nonetheless, issues in financial accessibility, regional inequities, and administrative limits persistently hinder the efficacy of current assistance methods. The article suggests that additional digitalisation of financial services, development of credit guarantee systems, deployment of alternative credit scoring models, and reinforcement of public-private collaboration are essential to enhance SME financing efficiency and promote sustainable economic growth.*

Keywords: *small enterprise, entrepreneurship, SME financing, governmental assistance, subsidies, guarantees, preferential loans, economic advancement, Uzbekistan..*

1. Introduction

Auditing The advancement of small businesses has emerged as a crucial priority in global economic strategy. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) constitute roughly 90–99% of all registered businesses worldwide and produce between 50% and 70% of job possibilities. They are essential in promoting innovation, increasing competitiveness, alleviating poverty, and facilitating inclusive economic growth. OECD publications highlight that access to finance is a crucial factor in the development and long-term viability of SMEs. Notwithstanding their significance, SMEs encounter ongoing difficulties in securing financial resources. Information asymmetry, absence of collateral, inadequate credit history, and elevated transaction costs sometimes impede access to external borrowing. IMF studies indicate that funding limitations substantially impact productivity, export orientation, innovation performance, and enterprise growth [1]. In Uzbekistan, small enterprises are a crucial element of economic modernisation and diversification strategies. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) already account for

roughly 53–54% of GDP and represent one of the most significant sources of employment in the nation. Government programs designed to bolster entrepreneurship have escalated in the past decade, particularly via subsidised lending, tax incentives, guarantee mechanisms, and digital financial services. Recent changes have concentrated on enhancing financial accessibility, fostering innovation, assisting youth and women entrepreneurs, and broadening export-oriented production. International organisations such as the World Bank, ADB, IFC, EBRD, and OECD provide robust support for these reforms via financial aid and technical collaboration initiatives [2]. The increasing significance of digital financial technology has further altered SME financing arrangements. Fintech technologies, open banking, digital lending platforms, artificial intelligence-driven scoring systems, and integrated e-government services have enhanced financial inclusion and diminished transaction costs. Uzbekistan has recently unveiled steps to implement AI-driven credit scoring and integrated digital financing platforms to enhance SME access to financial services. This research aims to assess the efficacy of official financial assistance mechanisms for SMEs in Uzbekistan and to develop recommendations for enhancing financial accessibility and business sustainability.

Literature

Review

Access to capital is universally acknowledged as a critical factor influencing the growth, competitiveness, and long-term viability of SMEs. Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, and Maksimovic (2005) discovered that financial limitations disproportionately impact small enterprises relative to large organisations, hence restricting their investment opportunities and growth potential. Berger and Udell (2006) highlighted that SMEs necessitate specialised funding structures owing to knowledge asymmetries, restricted collateral, and elevated perceived risks.

Storey (2016) contends that governmental intervention in SME financing is essential to rectify market flaws and enhance capital accessibility. OECD research indicates that state guarantees, subsidised loans, venture capital initiatives, and tax incentives are among the most efficacious tools for fostering entrepreneurial growth and bolstering firm resilience. Simultaneously, an overreliance on governmental assistance may diminish market efficiency and entrepreneurial drive. Recent research conducted by the World Bank, International Capital Corporation (IFC), and Asian Development Bank (ADB) identifies restricted access to capital as a principal obstacle to SME advancement in emerging economies. These organisations underscore the significance of contemporary financial infrastructure, digital banking, fintech innovations, and financial inclusion strategies in fostering entrepreneurship and economic development.

N. Jumaev, a prominent Uzbek economist, has conducted considerable research on monetary policy, financial sector development, and banking reforms, highlighting the necessity of enhancing access to financial resources for entrepreneurs and fortifying financial intermediation channels.

X. Kurbonov emphasises investment processes, corporate financing, and governmental support mechanisms, underscoring the significance of preferential lending and investment incentives in fostering SME growth [3]. R. Karlibaeva analyses financial sustainability, financial management, and funding mechanisms for commercial entities. Her research underscores the significance of efficient financial support systems, resource distribution, and innovative financing mechanisms in bolstering the competitiveness and resilience of small enterprises. S. Elmirzaev has significantly contributed to the fields of public finance, fiscal policy, and governmental support initiatives designed to promote private sector development and entrepreneurship.

[4].

B. Khodiev examines the effects of economic reforms, investment strategies, and institutional modernisation on firm development. His research indicates that a conducive business environment, efficient financial institutions, and effective governmental policies are crucial for enhancing SME competitiveness and productivity [5]. S. Gulyamov underscores the significance of digital transformation, financial technology, and innovation in economic advancement, contending that digital financial services and fintech platforms can markedly enhance SMEs' access to capital and operational efficacy [6]. The literature indicates that governmental financial support, alongside financial sector modernisation and digital transformation, is essential for enhancing SME performance, augmenting financial inclusion, and fostering sustainable economic growth [7].

Methodology

This study utilises a mixed-method research methodology that integrates quantitative and qualitative methodologies to assess the efficacy of state financial assistance granted to small business organisations in Uzbekistan. The choice of a hybrid methodology is warranted by the multifaceted character of SME development, which relies on both quantifiable financial metrics and institutional, regulatory, and socio-economic elements. The empirical foundation of the study comprises official statistical data sourced from the Statistics Agency under the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, the Ministry of Economy and Finance, the Central Bank of Uzbekistan, reports from the Chamber of Commerce and Industry, and publications from international organisations including the World Bank, OECD, Asian Development Bank (ADB), International Finance Corporation (IFC), and European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD).

The research encompasses the years 2020 to 2025, incorporating the post-pandemic recovery phase and the execution of several entrepreneurial support initiatives. During this period, the government substantially augmented preferential loan programs, guarantee frameworks, subsidised funding, and digital financial services to assist SMEs. A variety of analytical procedures were utilised. Initially, descriptive statistical analysis was employed to discern trends in government funding volume and SME development indicators. A comparison analysis was performed to evaluate support systems and their outcomes over several years. Third, dynamic analysis was utilised to assess variations in financial support quantities and company performance metrics over time. Ultimately, synthesis and generalisation techniques were employed to develop policy suggestions grounded in international best practices.

To assess the correlation between financial assistance and SME advancement, the subsequent growth rate formula was utilised:

$$\text{Growth Rate (\%)} = \frac{((X_t - X_{t-1}) / X_{t-1}) \times 100}{\text{location:}}$$

X_t = indication for the current year;

X_{t-1} = indication from the preceding year.

The paper posits that augmented governmental financial support enhances SME development by facilitating access to capital, stimulating investment activity, generating jobs, and promoting business sustainability.

Two possibilities were evaluated:

H1: Government financial assistance positively impacts the growth of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs).

The efficacy of governmental support is enhanced when financial aid is integrated with digital financial technologies and guarantee systems.

The methodological approach facilitates a thorough evaluation of quantitative results and

institutional elements affecting the efficacy of SME financing initiatives.

Results

The data indicates a substantial increase in governmental financial assistance for small business entities over the study period [8].

Table 1.

Financial Assistance from the State for Small Business Entities in Uzbekistan (2020–2025)

Year	Preferential Loans (bln UZS)	Subsidies (bln UZS)	Guarantees (bln UZS)
2020	4.250	580	1.100
2021	5.740	720	1.460
2022	7.120	940	1.980
2023	8.630	1.140	2.540
2024	10.180	1.360	3.120
2025	11.750	1.620	3.850

The findings indicate that preferential lending continues to be the primary mechanism of governmental assistance. From 2020 to 2025, the volume of concessional loans rose from 4.25 trillion UZS to 11.75 trillion UZS, indicating an increase of nearly 176%. Subsidies rose by 179%, whereas guarantees grew by over 250% [9]. Government guarantees mitigate credit risk for financial institutions and enhance entrepreneurs' access to capital [10].

Table 2.

Key SME Development Indicators

Indicator	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Number of SMEs (thousand)	411	438	472	506	539	565
Employment (million persons)	9.8	10.2	10.5	10.9	11.3	11.7
SME Share in GDP (%)	54.8	55.6	56.4	57.1	58.0	58.9
SME Exports (USD billion)	2.4	2.8	3.3	3.8	4.2	4.6

The findings demonstrate a favourable correlation between state financial assistance and indices of SME development. The quantity of SMEs rose by 37.5%, whilst SME exports virtually doubled over the study period. Employment created by small enterprises rose from 9.8 million to 11.7 million individuals, indicating the sector's expanding role in labour market stability [11].

Moreover, the proportion of SMEs in GDP has consistently risen, indicating that

entrepreneurship has emerged as a key catalyst for economic growth in Uzbekistan. The findings further demonstrate that financial assistance programs enhanced firm resilience under economic instability. Businesses receiving subsidised loans and guarantees exhibited more investment activity and more robust recovery from pandemic-induced interruptions [12].

The growing significance of digital financial technology has improved the efficacy of assistance measures. Online loan applications, electronic guarantee systems, digital banking services, and fintech platforms have diminished transaction costs and expedited access to financing for entrepreneurs [13].

Discussion

The study's findings align with global studies highlighting the significance of government engagement in financing small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) [14]. OECD research indicates that financial limitations continue to be one of the primary obstacles to SME advancement globally. Like OECD member nations, Uzbekistan has progressively depended on guarantee arrangements and subsidised lending to rectify market shortcomings and enhance financial inclusion [15].

The findings validate the theoretical assertions made by Beck and Demirgüç-Kunt, who highlighted that enhanced access to finance positively impacts business expansion, innovation, and job creation. The noted rise in SME numbers and exports indicates that governmental assistance has enabled business growth and market engagement [16]. Simultaneously, numerous challenges persist in undermining the efficacy of assistance services. Initially, access to financial resources is inconsistent throughout various places. Businesses situated in major urban centers derive greater advantages from accessible finance programs compared to those in rural regions [17]. Secondly, numerous entrepreneurs continue to encounter challenges in comprehending qualifying requirements and application processes. Third, conventional collateral-based lending methods persist in limiting financial access for startups and innovative businesses [18]. Global evidence indicates that digital transformation can markedly enhance funding systems for SMEs. Countries like South Korea, Singapore, and Estonia have effectively incorporated digital identification systems, alternative credit scoring methodologies, and online finance platforms into their entrepreneurship assistance policies. These advances diminish administrative expenses, augment transparency, and boost financial accessibility [19].

The increasing significance of financial solutions offers substantial prospects for Uzbekistan. Artificial intelligence-driven credit evaluation tools, open banking platforms, and digital financial ecosystems may significantly enhance financial access for marginalised entrepreneurs.

The results indicate that financial assistance should increasingly target innovation-driven corporations, export-focused businesses, and technology-intensive startups. This method would enhance SME competitiveness and further broader economic modernisation goals [20].

Recommendations

for

Policy

Based on the findings, many policy proposals may be articulated. Initially, government guarantee programs ought to be augmented to alleviate collateral limitations and enhance loan accessibility for startups and microenterprises. Secondly, digital financial services must to be incorporated into all principal entrepreneurship assistance initiatives. The implementation of AI-driven credit rating systems can enhance lending efficiency and diminish information asymmetry [21]. Third, financial literacy initiatives must be enhanced to augment entrepreneurs'

comprehension of accessible support systems and financial management strategies. Fourth, increased focus should be directed towards assisting women entrepreneurs, youth-led enterprises, and businesses in rural areas where financial access is still comparatively restricted [22].

Fifth, alternative financing mechanisms, including venture capital funds, crowdsourcing platforms, business angel networks, and equity financing, should be vigorously advocated.

Sixth, monitoring and evaluation procedures must be improved to guarantee openness and efficacy in public support spending [23].

Enhanced collaboration among governmental entities, commercial banks, fintech firms, and international development organisations should be promoted to establish a cohesive SME finance ecosystem [24].

Conclusion

This paper examined the efficacy of governmental financial assistance for small enterprises in Uzbekistan from 2020 to 2025. The findings demonstrate that government support programs have substantially facilitated the growth of entrepreneurship, job creation, export enhancement, and economic diversification. Preferential loans, subsidies, and guarantee structures have emerged as essential tools for fostering SME development. The sustained increase in financial support volumes has coincided with favourable developments in the number of SMEs, employment rates, export performance, and the contribution of small enterprises to GDP. Nonetheless, other issues persist, including inequitable access to financial resources, regional inequities, administrative obstacles, and restricted availability of alternative financing mechanisms. Resolving these difficulties necessitates ongoing institutional reforms and the modernisation of SME financing institutions. The future efficacy of governmental support programs will increasingly rely on digital transformation, fintech integration, the expansion of guarantee mechanisms, and the development of innovative finance instruments. Through the implementation of these changes, Uzbekistan may enhance the role of SMEs as catalysts for sustainable economic growth, innovation, and social welfare.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ayyagari, T. Beck, and A. Demirgüç-Kunt, "Small and medium enterprises across the globe," *Small Business Economics*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 415–434, 2007, doi: 10.1007/s11187-006-9002-5.
- [2] T. Beck, A. Demirgüç-Kunt, and V. Maksimovic, "Financial and legal constraints to firm growth: Does firm size matter?" *Journal of Finance*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 137–177, 2005, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6261.2005.00727.x.
- [3] T. Beck and A. Demirgüç-Kunt, "Small and medium-size enterprises: Access to finance as a growth constraint," *Journal of Banking and Finance*, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 2931–2943, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2006.05.009.
- [4] A. N. Berger and G. F. Udell, "A more complete conceptual framework for SME finance," *Journal of Banking and Finance*, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 2945–2966, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2006.05.008.
- [5] M. Cowling, W. Liu, and A. Ledger, "Small business financing in the UK before and during the current financial crisis," *International Small Business Journal*, vol. 38, no. 7, pp. 563–579, 2020.
- [6] A. Demirgüç-Kunt, L. Klapper, D. Singer, S. Ansar, and J. Hess, *The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial Inclusion, Digital Payments, and Resilience in the Age of COVID-19*. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank, 2022.
- [7] European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, *Transition Report 2024–2025: Navigating Industrial Policy*. London, U.K.: EBRD, 2024.
- [8] International Finance Corporation, *MSME Finance Gap Assessment and Policy Recommendations*. Washington, DC, USA: IFC, 2024.
- [9] International Monetary Fund, *Republic of Uzbekistan: Staff Report and Economic Outlook*. Washington, DC, USA:

IMF, 2024.

- [10] L. Klapper, L. Laeven, and R. Rajan, "Entry regulation as a barrier to entrepreneurship," *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 591–629, 2006.
- [11] R. Levine, "Finance and growth: Theory and evidence," in *Handbook of Economic Growth*, P. Aghion and S. Durlauf, Eds. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier, 2005, pp. 865–934.
- [12] United Nations Development Programme, *Entrepreneurship and Inclusive Growth in Central Asia*. New York, NY, USA: UNDP, 2023.
- [13] World Bank, *Uzbekistan Country Economic Memorandum: Enhancing Private Sector Growth*. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank, 2024.
- [14] World Bank, *Improving Access to Finance for SMEs in Emerging Economies*. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank, 2023.
- [15] Asian Development Bank, *Supporting Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises in Uzbekistan*. Manila, Philippines: ADB, 2024.
- [16] Asian Development Bank, *Asian Development Outlook 2023: Economic Recovery and SME Development*. Manila, Philippines: ADB, 2023.
- [17] N. Zainuddin, S. A. Wahab, and A. Ibrahim, "Government support and SME performance: Evidence from developing economies," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 18, Art. no. 11423, 2022, doi: 10.3390/su141811423.
- [18] T. H. Nguyen, T. T. Le, and H. M. Vu, "Financial inclusion and SME growth in emerging markets," *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, vol. 16, no. 4, Art. no. 187, 2023, doi: 10.3390/jrfm16040187.
- [19] M. A. Khan, A. Hussain, and S. Ahmed, "Government financial support and SME resilience after COVID-19," *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 4552–4570, 2022.
- [20] Statistics Agency under the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, *Statistical Yearbook of Uzbekistan 2025*. Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 2025.
- [21] Ministry of Economy and Finance of the Republic of Uzbekistan, *Annual Report on Small Business Development*. Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 2025.
- [22] Central Bank of the Republic of Uzbekistan, *Financial Stability Review*. Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 2025.
- [23] Chamber of Commerce and Industry of Uzbekistan, *SME Development and Entrepreneurship Report*. Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 2024.
- [24] B. Karimov and S. Rakhimov, "Digital financial services and SME development in Uzbekistan," *Journal of Central Asian Economic Studies*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 45–61, 2023.