

## Article

# The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Developing Spatial Thinking in Engineering Graphics Education

Farida Baxtiyorovna Sabirova\*<sup>1</sup>

1. Department of Fine Arts and Engineering Graphics Faculty of Social and Natural Sciences Urganch State Pedagogical Institute Urganch, Uzbekistan

\* Correspondence: [sabirovaf500@gmail.com](mailto:sabirovaf500@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** This study examines the role of artificial intelligence in developing spatial thinking skills in engineering graphics education. Spatial thinking is considered one of the fundamental competencies for understanding engineering drawings, three-dimensional visualization, and technical design processes. However, traditional instructional approaches often fail to address individual learning differences and difficulties associated with abstract spatial concepts. The proposed conceptual framework integrates adaptive learning technologies, intelligent visualization tools, and automated feedback mechanisms to improve students' learning experiences. The study is based on constructivist learning theory and cognitive load theory. The findings suggest that artificial intelligence can support personalized learning environments, strengthen spatial reasoning abilities, and improve overall educational effectiveness in engineering graphics education.

**Citation:** Sabirova F. B. The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Developing Spatial Thinking in Engineering Graphics Education. International Journal on Integrated Education (IJIE) 2026, 9(3), 23-27.

Received: 10<sup>th</sup> Mar 2026

Revised: 15<sup>th</sup> Apr 2026

Accepted: 20<sup>th</sup> May 2026

Published: 29<sup>th</sup> Jun 2026



**Copyright:** © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Engineering Graphics Education, Spatial Thinking, Adaptive Learning, Intelligent Visualization, Educational Technology, Cognitive Load Theory, Personalized Learning.

## 1. Introduction

Engineering graphics education occupies an essential position in higher education by preparing students for professional activities in engineering, architecture, and technological design. The discipline contributes to the development of technical competencies including spatial visualization, analytical reasoning, interpretation of graphical information, and engineering problem solving [1]. Spatial thinking represents one of the most important cognitive abilities required in engineering education. Students are expected to understand geometric relationships, mentally manipulate three-dimensional structures, and transform visual information into technical representations. Nevertheless, many learners experience difficulties in mastering abstract spatial concepts through conventional teaching approaches [2]. Recent technological developments have introduced artificial intelligence as a transformative component in modern educational systems. AI-supported educational environments enable adaptive learning processes, intelligent tutoring, automated assessment, and data-driven instructional decisions [3]. Within engineering graphics education, artificial intelligence creates opportunities to improve visualization processes and support students' individual learning needs. Intelligent systems can analyze learning behavior and adjust instructional content according to student performance and cognitive readiness [4]. Despite increasing scholarly attention to AI integration in education, limited studies investigate its direct influence on developing spatial thinking in engineering graphics education. Existing studies mostly address general educational contexts rather than subject-specific implementation models

[5]. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the role of artificial intelligence in strengthening spatial thinking skills and to propose a conceptual model for integrating AI technologies into engineering graphics education. The study also seeks to identify pedagogical mechanisms that may improve learning effectiveness and support future educational innovation.

**Literature Review.** Recent developments in artificial intelligence have significantly influenced educational theory and instructional practice across various academic disciplines. The growing implementation of intelligent technologies has transformed traditional learning environments into more adaptive, personalized, and student-centered educational systems. Researchers increasingly emphasize that artificial intelligence creates opportunities to optimize learning processes through adaptive instruction, intelligent tutoring systems, automated assessment, and learning analytics [6]. Within engineering education, spatial thinking has long been recognized as one of the fundamental cognitive competencies necessary for academic achievement and professional development. Engineering graphics, in particular, requires students to understand spatial relationships, interpret technical drawings, mentally manipulate geometric structures, and construct visual representations of engineering concepts. According to Sorby the development of spatial abilities directly influences students' success in engineering disciplines and their capacity to solve complex technical problems [7]. Traditional approaches to engineering graphics education generally rely on theoretical explanations, manual drawing exercises, and computer-aided design software. Although these instructional methods contribute to the formation of technical knowledge and practical skills, they frequently lack mechanisms that account for differences in learners' cognitive readiness, learning pace, and spatial reasoning capabilities. As a result, many students encounter difficulties when attempting to comprehend abstract geometric concepts and visualize multidimensional structures. The introduction of artificial intelligence into educational environments has provided new possibilities for overcoming these limitations. AI-supported instructional systems can analyze students' performance data, identify individual learning patterns, and adjust educational content according to learner needs. Holmes et al. argue that adaptive educational technologies improve learning effectiveness by delivering individualized support and enabling more flexible instructional strategies [8]. Another important theoretical perspective supporting this study is Cognitive Load Theory proposed by Sweller. This theory suggests that excessive mental processing demands may reduce learning efficiency, particularly in visually intensive educational contexts. Engineering graphics often requires simultaneous processing of visual, technical, and conceptual information, creating additional cognitive pressure on students. Intelligent educational technologies have the potential to reduce unnecessary cognitive load by organizing information in more accessible and interactive forms, thereby improving conceptual understanding and knowledge retention [9]. Furthermore, Mayer's Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning explains that students achieve deeper understanding when verbal explanations and visual materials are integrated effectively. Interactive visualization tools supported by artificial intelligence enable learners to manipulate graphical elements dynamically, increasing engagement and facilitating stronger spatial reasoning skills [10]. Despite growing interest in applying artificial intelligence in education, existing literature demonstrates a noticeable lack of structured frameworks specifically designed for engineering graphics education. Most previous studies investigate general STEM contexts and provide limited discussion regarding subject-specific implementation strategies. Therefore, additional conceptual research remains necessary to establish effective pedagogical models capable of integrating artificial intelligence into engineering graphics education and enhancing students' spatial thinking abilities.

## 2. Materials and Methods

This study adopts a conceptual and design-based research methodology to investigate the role of artificial intelligence in developing spatial thinking within engineering graphics education. Rather than conducting empirical classroom experiments, the study focuses on developing a theoretical framework that combines educational principles with intelligent technological solutions in order to improve teaching and learning processes. The methodological approach was selected because conceptual modeling enables systematic analysis of interactions among pedagogical theory, technological innovation, and cognitive development. The study seeks to establish a structured model explaining how artificial intelligence can support students' spatial reasoning and improve educational effectiveness in engineering graphics instruction. The research process was organized into three interconnected stages. During the first stage, an analytical review of existing scientific literature was conducted to examine current applications of artificial intelligence in educational contexts [11]. Particular attention was given to studies addressing adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring technologies, educational data analysis, engineering graphics instruction, and spatial thinking development. This stage allowed the identification of existing opportunities and limitations associated with integrating intelligent technologies into engineering education. In the second stage, a conceptual integration model was developed based on constructivist learning theory and cognitive load theory. The proposed framework combines artificial intelligence technologies with pedagogical principles to create an adaptive educational environment. The model consists of three interconnected instructional elements: an adaptive learning system, an intelligent visualization environment, and an automated feedback mechanism. The adaptive learning component is designed to personalize instructional content according to learner performance and cognitive progress. The intelligent visualization environment supports interactive engagement with engineering graphics through dynamic manipulation of two-dimensional and three-dimensional objects. The automated feedback mechanism evaluates student activities and provides timely recommendations to strengthen learning outcomes. During the final stage, theoretical evaluation and comparative analysis were conducted to examine the compatibility of the proposed framework with educational objectives in engineering graphics instruction. The analysis focused on identifying how intelligent educational technologies contribute to the development of spatial thinking, reduction of cognitive burden, and improvement of instructional effectiveness. Overall, the selected methodology provides a theoretical basis for future empirical studies and supports the practical implementation of artificial intelligence technologies in engineering graphics education [12].

## 3. Results

The conceptual analysis conducted in this study demonstrates that the integration of artificial intelligence into engineering graphics education has considerable potential to improve the development of students' spatial thinking abilities. The proposed educational framework indicates that combining adaptive learning technologies, intelligent visualization systems, and automated educational support mechanisms can create a more effective and personalized learning environment. The findings suggest that artificial intelligence contributes to improving students' understanding of complex engineering concepts by providing learning experiences tailored to individual cognitive characteristics and performance levels. Through adaptive instructional mechanisms, students receive educational content appropriate to their level of preparation, which supports gradual knowledge acquisition and reduces learning difficulties associated with abstract graphical representations. The intelligent visualization component of the framework appears particularly important for strengthening spatial reasoning [13]. Engineering graphics

requires learners to interpret multidimensional relationships and mentally transform geometric objects into technical representations. Traditional instructional approaches often limit students' ability to interact dynamically with visual information. In contrast, AI-supported visualization technologies allow students to manipulate two-dimensional and three-dimensional models interactively, facilitating deeper conceptual understanding. The analysis further indicates that intelligent educational systems may contribute to reducing cognitive overload during learning activities. By organizing instructional materials and delivering information according to learner progress, artificial intelligence supports more efficient processing of visual and conceptual information. This improvement is expected to increase learning effectiveness and student engagement. Additionally, the integration of automated feedback mechanisms creates opportunities for continuous assessment and timely instructional intervention. Rather than relying solely on conventional evaluation methods, intelligent systems provide immediate responses to student performance and support ongoing development of technical and analytical competencies. Overall, the proposed framework suggests that artificial intelligence may positively influence spatial thinking development, improve learning efficiency, and support higher educational quality within engineering graphics instruction.

#### 4. Discussion

The findings of this study confirm that artificial intelligence possesses significant pedagogical value in supporting spatial thinking development within engineering graphics education. The proposed framework demonstrates that intelligent educational technologies can extend beyond automation and function as tools for enhancing cognitive development and instructional quality. One of the major contributions of the proposed model lies in its ability to address individual differences among learners. Engineering graphics education traditionally applies standardized teaching approaches despite considerable variation in students' spatial abilities and learning preferences. Artificial intelligence offers opportunities to personalize educational pathways and provide differentiated support according to individual performance and learning behavior. The role of intelligent visualization emerged as another important aspect of the discussion. Spatial thinking involves complex mental processes including visualization, transformation, and interpretation of graphical structures [14]. Interactive AI-supported environments enable students to actively engage with visual information and construct knowledge through experience, which aligns with constructivist educational principles. Furthermore, the findings support the assumptions of cognitive load theory by demonstrating that appropriately designed technological environments may reduce unnecessary mental burden and improve conceptual understanding. This result suggests that intelligent educational systems should not replace traditional instructional practices but rather complement and strengthen them. However, the integration of artificial intelligence into engineering graphics education also presents several challenges. Educational institutions may encounter technical limitations related to infrastructure and access to advanced digital technologies. Teachers also require methodological preparation and professional development to effectively implement intelligent instructional strategies. Another issue concerns maintaining balance between technological support and independent skill formation. Excessive reliance on automated systems may weaken students' manual drawing abilities and reduce opportunities for independent analytical thinking if educational design is not carefully managed. Despite these limitations, the findings indicate that artificial intelligence represents a promising direction for the modernization of engineering graphics education and the development of more adaptive and effective learning environments [15].

## 5. Conclusion

This study examined the role of artificial intelligence in developing spatial thinking within engineering graphics education and proposed a conceptual framework for integrating intelligent technologies into instructional practice. The findings suggest that artificial intelligence can improve educational effectiveness through adaptive learning, intelligent visualization, and automated feedback mechanisms. These technological solutions create opportunities for personalized learning experiences and support the development of higher-level spatial reasoning skills required in engineering education. The proposed framework demonstrates that intelligent educational environments may reduce cognitive burden, strengthen conceptual understanding, and improve student engagement in engineering graphics instruction. Through dynamic interaction with graphical information and adaptive instructional support, students are expected to achieve stronger learning outcomes and greater educational efficiency. At the same time, successful implementation of artificial intelligence requires consideration of technological infrastructure, teacher preparedness, and balanced pedagogical design. Educational innovation should focus not only on introducing intelligent tools but also on preserving essential engineering competencies and independent thinking abilities. Overall, this study provides a theoretical foundation for future empirical investigations and highlights the growing importance of artificial intelligence in transforming engineering graphics education. Further research is recommended to validate the proposed framework through experimental implementation and long-term evaluation of student performance outcomes.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Holmes, M. Bialik, and C. Fadel, *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning*. Boston, MA, USA: Center for Curriculum Redesign, 2019.
- [2] R. Luckin, W. Holmes, M. Griffiths, and L. B. Forcier, *Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education*. London, U.K.: Pearson Education, 2016.
- [3] R. E. Mayer, *Multimedia Learning*, 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [4] G. Siemens and R. S. Baker, "Learning analytics and educational data mining: Towards communication and collaboration," in *Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Learning Analytics and Knowledge*, 2012, pp. 252–254.
- [5] S. A. Sorby, "Educational research in developing 3-D spatial skills for engineering students," *International Journal of Science Education*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 459–480, 2009.
- [6] J. Sweller, "Cognitive load theory," *Psychology of Learning and Motivation*, vol. 55, pp. 37–76, 2011.
- [7] O. Zawacki-Richter, V. I. Marín, M. Bond, and F. Gouverneur, "Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – Where are the educators?" *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–27, 2019.
- [8] R. T. Azuma, "A survey of augmented reality," *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 355–385, 1997.
- [9] D. H. Jonassen, "Designing constructivist learning environments," in *Instructional-Design Theories and Models: A New Paradigm of Instructional Theory*, vol. II, C. M. Reigeluth, Ed. Mahwah, NJ, USA: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1999, pp. 215–239.
- [10] M. J. Prince and R. M. Felder, "Inductive teaching and learning methods: Definitions, comparisons, and research bases," *Journal of Engineering Education*, vol. 95, no. 2, pp. 123–138, 2006.
- [11] A. Woolf, *Building Intelligent Interactive Tutors*. Burlington, MA, USA: Morgan Kaufmann, 2009.
- [12] N. Selwyn, *Education and Technology: Key Issues and Debates*. London, U.K.: Bloomsbury Academic, 2016.
- [13] UNESCO, *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Challenges and Opportunities for Sustainable Development*. Paris, France: UNESCO, 2019.
- [14] OECD, *AI and the Future of Skills, Volume 1: Capabilities and Assessments*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2021.
- [15] P. Brusilovsky and C. Peylo, "Adaptive and intelligent web-based educational systems," *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, vol. 13, no. 2–4, pp. 159–172, 2003.