American Journal of Science and Learning for Development
Volume 5, Issue 4 | October-2026

ISSN: 2835-2157

Article

Persistent Underachievement and Math Anxiety: A Critical Analysis in the Context of Advancing Mathematics Education

Adewale Olanrewaju Sunday[1], Ganiyu Damilola Onanuga[2]

1. Department of Science and Environmental Education, Faculty of Education, University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria
2. Integrated Science Education Programme, Department of Science and Environmental Education, Faculty of Education, University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria

* Correspondence: -

Abstract: Persistent underachievement in mathematics has conventionally been framed as a deficit in cognitive mastery and procedural execution, obscuring the profound affective barriers that inhibit student success. This critical analysis interrogates the systemic crisis of Math Anxiety (MA), which is defined as a debilitating state of tension, apprehension, and fear; and its robust, inverse relationship with academic achievement, particularly in tasks demanding higher-order reasoning and complex problem-solving. The paper examines the self-perpetuating psychological mechanisms through which MA operates, showing how negative emotionality, intrusive thoughts, and fear provoke avoidant behaviors and maladaptive study habits that impede active learning. Crucially, the analysis moves beyond individual pathology to uncover contextual, demographic, and institutional predictors: peer-group anxiety dynamics, passive teacher-centered instructional practices, teacher characteristics, and disparities associated with gender and low parental education. To dismantle this pervasive cycle, a multifaceted framework of evidence-based innovations is proposed. This synthesis advocates for the deliberate integration of psychological interventions; notably growth mindset cultivation and structured study skills training, to foster emotional resilience, intrinsic motivation, and self-efficacy. Concurrently, it underscores the necessity of transformative pedagogical paradigms, including Contextualized Teaching and Learning (CTL), flipped classroom frameworks, and contextually adapted models such as the 5I Flipped Learning approach (Immerse, Interact, Illustrate, Illuminate, and Incentivize). The paper concludes that advancing mathematics education equitably requires systemic institutional reform, robust professional development to equip teachers with active and blended learning competencies, and sustained infrastructural investment to transform classrooms into psychologically safe, relevant, and engaging learning environments.

Keywords: Math anxiety, persistent underachievement, affective barriers, active learning, flipped classroom, 5I model, growth mindset, contextualized mathematics, mathematics education equity

Citation: Sunday, A. O & Onanuga, G. D. Persistent Underachievement and Math Anxiety: A Critical Analysis in the Context of Advancing Mathematics Education. American Journal of Science and Learning for Development 2026, 5(4), 67-70.

Received: 08th May 2026
Revised: 27th Jun 2026
Accepted: 12th Jul 2026
Published: 30th Aug 2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Persistent underachievement in mathematics is often viewed as a purely cognitive challenge, rooted in a student's inability to master complex concepts or procedures. However, a critical analysis reveals that this performance gap is intrinsically linked to powerful affective barriers, chiefly mathematics anxiety. Mathematics anxiety is commonly defined as tension, apprehension, or fear that interferes with mathematical performance [1].

This systemic issue is consequential because mathematics anxiety is consistently and negatively associated with mathematics achievement across educational levels [2], [3], [4]. The learning environment may intensify the problem when students encounter abstract materials, passive teacher-centred methods, limited opportunities for mathematical reasoning, and inadequate emotional support. Advancing mathematics education therefore requires an approach that addresses both cognitive learning needs and the psychological and contextual conditions that impede participation and achievement.

2. Materials and Methods

The Psychological Mechanism of Math Anxiety

Mathematics anxiety is a significant impediment to learning and involves discomfort, fear, worry, and frustration when students engage with mathematical tasks [5]. It is distinct from general intelligence, although elevated anxiety can disrupt the cognitive resources required for successful performance.

The Negative Impact on Performance: Meta-analytic evidence confirms a robust negative association between mathematics anxiety and mathematical performance [2], [3], [4]. This relationship is especially consequential in demanding tasks because anxiety consumes working-memory resources needed for multistep reasoning and complex problem-solving [6]. Thus, mathematics anxiety can impair higher-order thinking as well as procedural fluency.

Avoidance and Maladaptive Study Skills: Mathematics anxiety is characterised by negative emotionality and intrusive or distracting thoughts during mathematical activity [2], [6]. This distress can encourage maladaptive study patterns: students may perceive mathematics as excessively difficult, resist homework and test preparation, or avoid mathematics classes. Longitudinal and developmental evidence also suggests that poor performance and anxiety may reinforce one another over time, producing a self-perpetuating cycle [7].

3. Results

Contextual and Systemic Predictors of Anxiety and Underachievement

While the anxiety is experienced individually, its origin and magnitude are often rooted in systemic and contextual factors, highlighting the need for institutional reform.

The Influence of Peers and Environment: Mathematics anxiety is experienced individually, but it is shaped by the social and instructional environment. Classroom norms, peer interactions, teachers' emotional signals, and the quality of teacher-student relationships can influence learners' confidence and willingness to participate [8], [9]. Supportive instruction can reduce threat and normalise productive struggle, whereas highly anxious classroom climates may strengthen avoidance and negative beliefs about mathematical ability [10].

Socioeconomic Status and Gender Disparities: Mathematics anxiety is not distributed uniformly across student populations. Meta-analytic evidence indicates that its prevalence and strength may vary by gender, educational context, and socioeconomic background [2], [8]. These differences should not be treated as fixed individual deficits; rather, they highlight how social expectations, access to support, and prior learning opportunities can shape students' affective experiences and academic outcomes.

Strategic Innovations for Mitigating Math Anxiety and Underachievement

Addressing persistent underachievement requires interventions that target the affective roots of the problem, leveraging pedagogical and psychological strategies to build resilience and engagement.

a. *The Power of Growth Mindset Interventions: A growth mindset is the belief that ability can be developed through effective effort, strategies, feedback, and support [11]. In mathematics classrooms, this orientation can encourage students to interpret mistakes as part of learning, seek assistance, and persist when tasks become difficult. When combined with explicit emotional support and sound instruction, growth-oriented practices may strengthen self-efficacy and reduce avoidance associated with mathematics anxiety [2].*

b. *Study Skills Training and Emotional Resilience: Structured study-skills support can help highly anxious students replace avoidance with manageable routines such as distributed practice, self-testing, error analysis, and timely help-seeking. Intervention research indicates that reducing threat and strengthening adaptive coping are promising components of mathematics-anxiety support [10]. These strategies should be embedded in regular instruction rather than offered only after severe underachievement has occurred.*

The mathematics classroom is also an important context in which students can practise emotional regulation and academic resilience. Repeated engagement with appropriately challenging tasks, accompanied by constructive feedback, can help students tolerate discomfort and develop more adaptive responses to mathematical difficulty [8], [10].

4. Discussion

Pedagogical Strategies: Contextualization and Active Learning

Innovative teaching methods are essential to counteract abstract materials and passive learning, which are key drivers of low performance and anxiety.

a. *Contextualized Mathematics (CoM) and Relevance: Contextualized Teaching and Learning connects mathematical ideas with meaningful situations and encourages students to construct understanding through application. By presenting mathematics as relevant, integrated, and useful, contextualisation can strengthen engagement and perceived utility. Its implementation should nevertheless preserve conceptual depth and provide opportunities for explanation, representation, and guided practice.*

b. *Flipped and Blended Learning: Flipped and blended models relocate selected explanations or preparatory activities outside class so that classroom time can be used for problem-solving, discussion, feedback, and targeted support. Evidence from secondary mathematics classrooms shows that the value of blended learning depends on purposeful design, teacher guidance, and students' access to suitable resources [12]. These models can address some instructional conditions associated with anxiety by increasing interaction and creating more opportunities for clarification.*

c. *Adapted Models for Systemic Barriers: Flipped approaches must be adapted to local infrastructure, learner readiness, and teacher capacity. Research in Saudi secondary mathematics education has examined the relationship between flipped instruction, proficiency, and self-efficacy [13]. More recently, the 5I Flipped Learning model—Immerse, Interact, Illustrate, Illuminate, and Incentivize—has been reported as a structured approach for improving mathematics achievement, retention, and inquiry when its stages are implemented coherently [14].*

5. Conclusion

Persistent underachievement and math anxiety in mathematics education are a self-perpetuating cycle: abstract teaching fuels anxiety, which triggers avoidance, leading to underperformance. Breaking this cycle requires a comprehensive and intentional shift in educational practice and policy. The path toward higher educational goals must be paved with interventions that promote affective health and cognitive growth simultaneously. This includes:

a. *Mandating Growth Mindset and Resilience Training:* Systematically integrating programs that teach students they can "do mathematics" and how to employ effective study strategies to combat avoidance.

b. *Adopting Contextualized, Active Pedagogies:* Prioritizing models like CoM and Flipped Learning to increase relevance, engagement, and the availability of teacher-to-student mentoring.

c. *Addressing Systemic Implementation Barriers: Policy should provide sustained teacher development and adequate infrastructure to reduce inequities in access and*

implementation. Blended and flipped approaches rely on teachers' ability to orchestrate active learning, provide feedback, and use digital resources appropriately [12], [14].

By transforming the classroom into an environment where students feel safe, capable, and connected to the real-world utility of mathematics, education systems can mitigate anxiety, foster agency, and expand opportunities for achievement. International evidence further reinforces the importance of attending to students' engagement, motivation, and mathematics-related self-beliefs alongside cognitive outcomes [15].

REFERENCES

[1] M. H. Ashcraft, "Math anxiety: Personal, educational, and cognitive consequences," Current Directions in Psychological Science, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 181–185, 2002, doi: 10.1111/1467-8721.00196.

[2] C. Barroso, C. M. Ganley, A. L. McGraw, E. A. Geer, S. A. Hart, and M. C. Daucourt, "A meta-analysis of the relation between math anxiety and math achievement," Psychological Bulletin, vol. 147, no. 2, pp. 134–168, 2021, doi: 10.1037/bul0000307.

[3] J. M. Namkung, P. Peng, and X. Lin, "The relation between mathematics anxiety and mathematics performance among school-aged students: A meta-analysis," Review of Educational Research, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 459–496, 2019, doi: 10.3102/0034654319843494.

[4] R. Hembree, "The nature, effects, and relief of mathematics anxiety," Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 33–46, 1990, doi: 10.2307/749455.

[5] U. S. Anaduaka and A. O. Sunday, "Mathematics laboratory: Practical solution to classroom 'mathemagics' in schools," Continental Journal of Education Research, vol. 13, no. 2, 2021.

[6] M. H. Ashcraft and J. A. Krause, "Working memory, math performance, and math anxiety," Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 243–248, 2007, doi: 10.3758/BF03194059.

[7] E. Carey, F. Hill, A. Devine, and D. Szücs, "The chicken or the egg? The direction of the relationship between mathematics anxiety and mathematics performance," Frontiers in Psychology, vol. 6, art. no. 1987, 2016, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01987.

[8] S. Luttenberger, S. Wimmer, and M. Paechter, "Spotlight on math anxiety," Psychology Research and Behavior Management, vol. 11, pp. 311–322, 2018, doi: 10.2147/PRBM.S141421.

[9] E. A. Gunderson, G. Ramirez, S. C. Levine, and S. L. Beilock, "The role of parents and teachers in the development of gender-related math attitudes," Sex Roles, vol. 66, nos. 3–4, pp. 153–166, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s11199-011-9996-2.

[10] G. Ramirez, S. T. Shaw, and E. A. Maloney, "Math anxiety: Past research, promising interventions, and a new interpretation framework," Educational Psychologist, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 145–164, 2018, doi: 10.1080/00461520.2018.1447384.

[11] C. S. Dweck, Mindset: The New Psychology of Success. New York, NY, USA: Random House, 2006.

[12] C. Attard and K. Holmes, "An exploration of teacher and student perceptions of blended learning in four secondary mathematics classrooms," Mathematics Education Research Journal, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 719–740, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s13394-020-00359-2.

[13] A. Algarni, "An investigation into the impact of the flipped classroom on secondary school students' mathematical proficiency and self-efficacy in Saudi Arabia," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Southampton, Southampton, U.K., 2021.

[14] A. I. Oladejo and T. T. Olateju, "Beyond the conventional flipped classroom: Exploring the efficacy of the 5I model of flipped learning in senior secondary school mathematics," STEM Education, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 974–999, 2025, doi: 10.3934/steme.2025043.

[15] OECD, PISA 2012 Results: Ready to Learn—Students' Engagement, Drive and Self-Beliefs, Volume III. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2013, doi: 10.1787/9789264201170-en.