



Article

Ensuring the Sustainability of Regional Economic Growth: A Management Model and an Assessment Approach

Yuldoshev Sherzod Yusup ugli¹

1. University of Business and Science in Tashkent Lecturer, Department of Economics and Finance

Abstract: This article examines a management model for regional sustainable development and its impact on economic growth. It proposes a model that integrates the economic, social, environmental, and institutional dimensions of sustainable development into a single management system. Regional economic growth is assessed using indicators such as gross regional product, per capita output, investment, employment, entrepreneurship, and innovation activity. The results show that strategic planning, digital monitoring, and results-based financing are important for ensuring sustainable economic growth in the regions. The proposed approach can be aligned with Uzbekistan's "Uzbekistan – 2030" Strategy and the Sustainable Development Goals.

Keywords: Region, sustainable development, economic growth, management model, gross regional product, investment, innovation, regional policy.

Citation: Yusup ugli Y. S. Ensuring the Sustainability of Regional Economic Growth: A Management Model and an Assessment Approach. American Journal of Economics and Business Management 2026, 9(10), 1-6.

Received: 10th Jun 2026

Revised: 11th Aug 2026

Accepted: 11th Sep 2026

Published: 05th Oct 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

In an era of globalization, the economic development of regions is determined no longer merely by growth in output, but by the extent to which that growth is combined with social justice, environmental security, and institutional effectiveness. For this reason, approaches to regional management that focus on long-term, balanced development rather than short-term economic results are becoming increasingly important [1].

The sustainable development of a region refers to the rational use of its economic, natural, labor, and institutional potential, the improvement of the population's well-being, and the management of development processes with due regard for the interests of future generations [2]. In this approach, economic growth, social inclusion, environmental protection, and effective public administration are treated as a single system.

The issue of regional development is especially relevant in Uzbekistan, because the country's regions differ markedly from one another in terms of natural resources, production potential, infrastructure, labor markets, and investment opportunities. Therefore, instead of applying the same management decisions to all regions, it is necessary to build a differentiated and comprehensive model that takes into account the specific characteristics of each region [3].

In 2025, Uzbekistan's economy grew by 7.7 percent, with exports, private consumption, services, agriculture, and industry as the main drivers [4]. However, high economic growth alone does not automatically reduce regional disparities, create new jobs, or resolve environmental problems [5]. It is precisely for this reason that assessing the quality of economic growth and its degree of sustainability is of particular scientific and practical importance.

The aim of the study is to develop a comprehensive model for managing regional sustainable development and to propose a methodology for assessing the impact of this model on economic growth.

To achieve this aim, the following objectives were set:

- to study the theoretical foundations of regional sustainable development;
- to identify the main management factors that ensure regional sustainability;
- to build a system of economic, social, environmental, and institutional indicators;
- to develop a model for managing regional sustainable development; and
- to develop practical recommendations for assessing the model's impact on economic growth.

The scientific hypothesis of the study was formulated as follows: if economic, social, environmental, and institutional factors are coordinated within a single management system in the course of regional development, economic growth rates will be not only high but also long-term and inclusive in character.

2. Methods

The methodological basis of the study consists of theories of sustainable development, regional economics, strategic management, and institutional development. The study used the systems approach, comparative analysis, statistical analysis, the indexing method, expert assessment, and econometric modeling.

The object of the study is the sustainable development processes of the regions of Uzbekistan. The subject of the study is the mechanisms for managing regions in their economic, social, environmental, and institutional dimensions, and the impact of these mechanisms on economic growth.

In this study, the management of regional sustainable development is considered as an integrated system consisting of four interrelated blocks.

Economic block — covers gross regional product, investment, industrial output, services, exports, small business, and labor productivity.

Social block — consists of indicators of employment, household income, poverty, education, healthcare, and social infrastructure.

Environmental block — reflects energy efficiency, atmospheric emissions of pollutants, water resource use, waste recycling, and the share of green areas.

Institutional block — includes the quality of local governance, the digitalization of public services, public participation, the investment climate, and budget transparency.

The interrelationship among these blocks can be expressed through the following logical chain: resources → management decisions → economic and social outcomes → environmental impact → monitoring and feedback. In this chain, monitoring results serve as a feedback mechanism for improving subsequent management decisions.

3. Results

The level of a region's sustainable development can be assessed using the following composite index [6]:

$$SDI_i = w_1E_i + w_2S_i + w_3EC_i + w_4I_i \quad (1)$$

where:

- SDI_i — the sustainable development index of region i ;
- E_i — the economic development index;
- S_i — the social development index;

- EC_i — the environmental sustainability index;
- I_i — the institutional development index;
- w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 — the weights of the respective blocks.

If all dimensions are considered equally important, each weight is set to 0.25. However, depending on the characteristics of a region's development, it is advisable to refine the weights using expert assessment or principal component analysis [7].

To bring the indicators to a common scale, the normalization method is used. For positive indicators:

$$Z_{ij} = (X_{ij} - X_{j\min}) / (X_{j\max} - X_{j\min}) \quad (2)$$

and for negative indicators, i.e., those whose decrease produces a positive result:

$$Z_{ij} = (X_{j\max} - X_{ij}) / (X_{j\max} - X_{j\min}) \quad (3)$$

Here, X_{ij} is a given indicator of a region, and $X_{j\max}$ and $X_{j\min}$ denote the maximum and minimum values of that indicator [8].

The impact of sustainable development on economic growth can be assessed on the basis of panel data using the following econometric model [9]:

$$GRP_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 SDI_{it} + \beta_2 INV_{it} + \beta_3 HC_{it} + \beta_4 INF_{it} + \beta_5 DIG_{it} + \mu_i + \lambda_t + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (4)$$

where:

- GRP_{it} — real gross regional product growth of region i in period t ;
- SDI_{it} — the sustainable development index;
- INV_{it} — investment in fixed capital;
- HC_{it} — human capital development;
- INF_{it} — infrastructure development;
- DIG_{it} — the level of digital development;
- μ_i — time-invariant region-specific factors;
- λ_t — time effects;
- ε_{it} — the random error term.

If the coefficient β_1 is positive and statistically significant, this indicates that an increase in the sustainable development index has a positive effect on regional economic growth.

The data required for the study can be obtained from the official statistics of the National Statistics Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on gross regional product, investment, industry, services, employment, the environment, and living standards [10].

The developed model makes it possible to assess a region's level of sustainable development not only in terms of economic factors, but also together with social, environmental, and institutional ones.

The proposed management model consists of the following main elements (Table 1).

Table 1. Components of the model for managing regional sustainable development

Model element	Content	Expected result
Strategic planning	Setting the region's long-term development goals	Targeted use of resources
System of indicators	Selecting economic, social, environmental, and institutional indicators	Ability to measure results
Resource coordination	Aligning budgetary, investment, labor, and natural resources	Greater cost efficiency

Model element	Content	Expected result
Stakeholder engagement	Cooperation among local authorities, business, civil society, and the population	Better quality of decisions
Digital monitoring	Rapid collection and analysis of data	Early identification of problems
Feedback mechanism	Updating management decisions based on monitoring results	Adaptive management

An important feature of the model is that local government bodies act not merely as administrative supervisors, but as strategic coordinators of regional development.

Sustainable development affects economic growth through several channels.

First, investment in infrastructure, education, and healthcare improves the quality of human capital. A skilled and healthy workforce contributes to higher labor productivity, the adoption of new technologies, and the expansion of innovation activity [11].

Second, ensuring environmental sustainability makes it possible to reduce production costs. The use of energy-saving technologies, the rational use of water, and waste recycling improve the efficiency of enterprises [12].

Third, improvements in institutional quality strengthen the investment climate. The digitalization of public services, the simplification of permitting procedures, and budget transparency stimulate entrepreneurial activity.

Fourth, social inclusion broadens the population's participation in economic processes. Rising employment and incomes stimulate domestic demand and have a positive effect on the development of services and small business.

Calculating the proposed econometric model on empirical data makes it possible to establish the following:

- the relationship between the sustainable development index and gross regional product growth;
- the contribution of investment and human capital to economic growth;
- the effect of environmental efficiency on production productivity;
- changes in entrepreneurial activity in regions with a high level of digitalization; and
- the extent to which development disparities among regions are narrowing.

If the economic, social, and institutional components of the index improve simultaneously, the dependence of economic growth on raw materials or public investment decreases. As a result, the regional economy becomes more diversified and more resilient to external economic risks.

The "Uzbekistan — 2030" Strategy clearly defines the priorities, goals, responsible institutions, and monitoring mechanisms of the country's development [13]. This makes it possible to align the proposed model of regional sustainable development with the national strategic management system [14].

Discussion

The results of the study show that it is not sufficient to assess a region's economic growth solely by gross regional product or the volume of industrial output. Such an approach may overlook environmental damage, social inequality, poverty, infrastructure problems, and institutional weaknesses.

The sustainable development model combines the quantitative and qualitative aspects of economic growth. For example, two regions may have the same gross regional product growth, yet in one of them this growth may be driven by high-tech production, skilled employment, and energy efficiency, while in the other it may result from the

intensive use of natural resources. In such a case, the development of the first region is considerably more sustainable in the long term.

The following problems persist in managing regional sustainable development:

- the completeness and comparability of data across regions are insufficient;
- environmental indicators are not fully integrated into economic planning;
- the financial and institutional capacities of local government bodies differ from region to region;
- there are gaps between strategic documents and actual budgets and investment projects; and
- monitoring results do not sufficiently influence management decisions.

To address these problems, the following measures are proposed:

- introducing a unified system of sustainable development indicators for each region, adapted to the region's specific characteristics [15];
- financing regional programs on the basis of the principle of results-based budgeting;
- defining per capita income, new jobs, the poverty rate, and environmental efficiency, alongside gross regional product growth, as key outcome indicators;
- strengthening cooperation among local authorities, business, higher education institutions, and the public;
- developing digital monitoring platforms that allow regional data to be tracked in real time; and
- taking social and environmental impact into account, together with economic efficiency, when evaluating investment projects.

The fact that Uzbekistan's national monitoring system for the Sustainable Development Goals has established a broad set of economic, social, and environmental indicators creates an important foundation for data-driven decision-making in regional governance [16]. Furthermore, according to assessments by international financial institutions, economic growth in Uzbekistan is being supported by services, industry, agriculture, investment, and structural reforms [17]. However, whether this growth is distributed evenly across all regions and aligned with long-term environmental sustainability continues to depend, above all, on the quality of the management model.

4. Conclusion

The model for managing regional sustainable development should integrate economic growth, social well-being, environmental security, and institutional effectiveness into a single system. The model proposed in the study makes it possible to assess regional development along four main blocks: economic, social, environmental, and institutional.

The sustainable development index can be used to identify differences among regions, evaluate the effectiveness of management decisions, and allocate resources to priority areas. Econometric assessment, in turn, helps determine the impact of sustainable development factors on gross regional product growth.

The main conclusions of the study can be summarized as follows:

- for a region's economic growth to be sustainable, economic indicators must be assessed together with social and environmental outcomes;
- human capital, infrastructure, digitalization, and institutional quality are important factors of economic growth;
- improving environmental efficiency reduces production costs in the long run and strengthens the region's competitiveness;

- monitoring, openness, and stakeholder engagement in local governance should be strengthened; and
- sustainable development indicators should be aligned with the “Uzbekistan — 2030” Strategy and the national Sustainable Development Goals.

In conclusion, a model for managing regional sustainable development is not limited to ensuring high rates of economic growth; it also serves to make that growth inclusive, environmentally safe, and long-term.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. D. Sachs, *The Age of Sustainable Development*. New York, NY, USA: Columbia Univ. Press, 2015.
- [2] World Commission on Environment and Development, *Our Common Future*. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford Univ. Press, 1987.
- [3] Asian Development Bank, “Uzbekistan country partnership strategy 2024–2028: Inclusive and sustainable growth assessment,” ADB, Manila, Philippines. Accessed: Oct. 5, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/linked-documents/cps-uzb-2024-2028-isga.pdf>
- [4] World Bank, “Uzbekistan economic overview and growth indicators.” Accessed: Oct. 5, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.worldbank.org/ext/en/country/uzbekistan>
- [5] A. Rodríguez-Pose, “The revenge of the places that don’t matter (and what to do about it),” *Cambridge J. Reg. Econ. Soc.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 189–209, 2018, doi: 10.1093/cjres/rsx024.
- [6] National Statistics Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan, “Environmental statistics.” Accessed: Oct. 5, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://stat.uz/en/official-statistics/environment>
- [7] D. Acemoglu, S. Johnson, and J. A. Robinson, “Institutions as a fundamental cause of long-run growth,” in *Handbook of Economic Growth*, vol. 1A, P. Aghion and S. N. Durlauf, Eds. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier, 2005, pp. 385–472, doi: 10.1016/S1574-0684(05)01006-3.
- [8] OECD and European Commission Joint Research Centre, *Handbook on Constructing Composite Indicators: Methodology and User Guide*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2008, doi: 10.1787/9789264043466-en.
- [9] B. H. Baltagi, *Econometric Analysis of Panel Data*, 6th ed. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2021, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-53953-5.
- [10] National Statistics Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan, “Official statistics portal.” Accessed: Oct. 5, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://stat.uz/en/>
- [11] N. G. Mankiw, D. Romer, and D. N. Weil, “A contribution to the empirics of economic growth,” *Q. J. Econ.*, vol. 107, no. 2, pp. 407–437, 1992, doi: 10.2307/2118477.
- [12] M. E. Porter and C. van der Linde, “Toward a new conception of the environment-competitiveness relationship,” *J. Econ. Perspect.*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 97–118, 1995, doi: 10.1257/jep.9.4.97.
- [13] Government of the Republic of Uzbekistan, “‘Uzbekistan — 2030’ strategy.” Accessed: Oct. 5, 2026. [Online]. Available: https://gov.uz/en/pages/2030_strategy
- [14] Development Strategy Center, “‘Uzbekistan — 2030’ strategy: Goals and indicators.” Accessed: Oct. 5, 2026. [Online]. Available: https://strategy.uz/index.php?static=strategy_actions&lang=en
- [15] National Statistics Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan, “National Sustainable Development Goals of Uzbekistan: Statistical indicators portal.” Accessed: Oct. 5, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://nsdg.stat.uz/en>
- [16] United Nations in Uzbekistan, “Uzbekistan Sustainable Development Goals.” Accessed: Oct. 5, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://uzbekistan.un.org/en/sdgs>
- [17] Asian Development Bank, “Asian development outlook: Uzbekistan’s economic growth.” Accessed: Oct. 5, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.adb.org/news/adb-uzbekistan-economic-growth-remain-strong-2026-2027-supported-reforms-and-investment>