

Article

Formation of Verification Thinking in Students Through Scientific Research Activity

Mirabdullayev Nuriddin Xamidulla ugli

PhD student at Namangan state university

Email: mirabdullayevnuriddin070@gmail.com

Article information:

Manuscript received: 14 January 2026; **Accepted:** 20 February 2026; **Published:** 11 March 2026

Abstract: This article analyzes the formation of verification thinking in students through scientific research activity. Verification thinking is interpreted as an integrative intellectual quality that enables a learner to check the reliability of information, examine the logical coherence of claims, compare evidence with sources, test hypotheses and justify conclusions through methodological criteria. The article argues that student research activity is not only a form of academic work but also a pedagogical environment in which learners acquire evidence-based reasoning, academic integrity and reflective self-control. The study connects Uzbek pedagogical views on critical thinking and information-cognitive activity with international discussions of falsifiability, reflective inquiry and critical reasoning. It is shown that verification thinking develops through a continuous cycle of problem identification, literature analysis, hypothesis formulation, methodological choice, data interpretation, peer discussion and reflective correction. The proposed approach may be used in higher education courses, student scientific societies, independent work and research-oriented seminars.

Keywords: verification thinking, scientific research activity, students, higher education, critical thinking, research competence, evidence-based reasoning, methodology, academic integrity.

1. Introduction

The modernization of higher education requires the formation of a student who is able not only to reproduce ready-made knowledge but also to check, substantiate and critically reconstruct it. In the contemporary academic environment, students meet a very large flow of scientific texts, digital materials, artificial intelligence outputs, media interpretations and informal explanations. The main problem is that access to information does not automatically create scientific knowledge. Knowledge becomes academically valuable only when its source, logic, method and evidence are verified[1].

Therefore, verification thinking should be regarded as one of the most important intellectual qualities of the modern student. Verification thinking may be defined as a disciplined cognitive and methodological ability to examine whether a statement, hypothesis, interpretation or research result is sufficiently grounded, logically consistent, empirically supported and ethically acceptable. It differs from ordinary doubt because it is not spontaneous suspicion. It is a regulated procedure of scientific judgment. A student with developed verification thinking asks what is being claimed, by whom, on the basis of what evidence, through which method, within which theoretical framework and with what limitations[2]. Such a student does not accept information only because it is popular or authoritative, and does not reject it only because it is unfamiliar. The student evaluates information through academic criteria.

Scientific research activity is the most effective pedagogical mechanism for forming this type of thinking because research itself is a process of verification. When students

choose a topic, clarify a problem, analyze literature, formulate a hypothesis, select methods, collect data, compare results and defend conclusions, they repeatedly face the need to justify each intellectual step. The relevance of a research problem must be verified through social and theoretical arguments; the hypothesis must be verified through logic and testability; the method must be verified through its correspondence to the object and subject of research; the result must be verified through reliability, validity and interpretive caution[3]. Thus, research activity gradually transforms verification from an external requirement into an internal habit of thought.

In practice, however, student research activity is sometimes reduced to formal writing, compilation of quotations, participation in conferences or preparation of reports without deep methodological reflection. Such activity cannot fully develop verification thinking, because it does not require students to examine the epistemic status of knowledge. The pedagogical task is to move from reproductive research imitation to genuine inquiry, in which students work with contradictions, compare sources, justify methods and openly discuss the limits of their conclusions. A research-oriented educational process should teach students not only to find information but also to ask whether this information is reliable, relevant, valid and sufficient.

This problem is especially significant for pedagogical education. Future teachers will later influence the intellectual culture of pupils and students. If they lack verification thinking, they may reproduce untested pedagogical stereotypes, accept innovations without analysis or rely on unverified digital materials. Conversely, a teacher who has formed verification thinking can evaluate didactic methods, interpret assessment results, analyze educational data and cultivate evidence-based dialogue in the classroom. Therefore, the formation of verification thinking has both academic and professional significance[4].

The theoretical basis of the problem is connected with epistemology, logic, methodology of science, critical thinking theory and pedagogical psychology. Epistemology explains how knowledge becomes justified; logic gives rules for coherence and inference; methodology of science clarifies the relationship between hypothesis, method and evidence; critical thinking theory emphasizes analysis, evaluation and self-regulation; pedagogical psychology shows how independence and reflection develop in learning. These foundations should be transformed into educational tasks: evaluating sources, detecting assumptions, identifying contradictions, comparing arguments, interpreting data and correcting conclusions. Verification thinking is also closely related to academic integrity.

Plagiarism, fabricated data, mechanical paraphrasing and uncritical use of digital tools often arise not only from ethical weakness but also from insufficient methodological culture. A student who does not understand how knowledge is verified may treat research as external text production rather than as a process of substantiation[5]. For this reason, scientific research activity must include citation ethics, source evaluation, transparent data use and responsible interpretation. Verification thinking becomes the intellectual basis of honesty because it teaches that every conclusion must be traceable and open to examination.

The purpose of this article is to substantiate the pedagogical role of scientific research activity in forming verification thinking in students. The article analyzes literature related to Uzbek and foreign scholarship, describes methodological tools suitable for the topic, presents results that may be expected from a verification-oriented research process and discusses the polemical relationship between verification, falsification and reflective inquiry. The central idea is that verification thinking should not be taught as an isolated skill[6]. It should be formed through repeated participation in research situations that require evidence, argument, methodological choice and reflective correction.

Literature review: The literature on the formation of verification thinking is closely connected with studies of critical thinking, research competence, information culture and scientific methodology. In Uzbek pedagogical scholarship, this problem is often expressed through related concepts such as independent reasoning, scientific cognition, evidence-based judgment and methodological literacy. Although the exact term verification

thinking is not always used, many Uzbek researchers analyze the cognitive operations that constitute it: source evaluation, argumentation, analytical comparison and reflection.

One important direction is represented by M. D. Omonova, whose Google Scholar indexed works consider the pedagogical possibilities of information and educational technologies in the development of students' critical thinking. Omonova's approach is relevant because verification thinking today develops within a digital information environment. Technologies may either weaken thinking, if they are used only for ready-made answers, or strengthen it, if they support source comparison, analytical tasks, collaborative review and reflective feedback. From this perspective, digital education must be organized methodologically so that students learn to distinguish information access from knowledge justification.

A second relevant Uzbek scholar is F. Kilicheva, whose Google Scholar profile includes research on advanced technologies for developing critical thinking in the formation of students' information and cognitive activity. This position is important because it interprets thinking as active work with information, not as a passive mental state. Verification thinking may be seen as a specialized form of information-cognitive activity: the student determines whether information is reliable, whether evidence is sufficient, whether the method is adequate and whether the conclusion follows from the data. Kilicheva's ideas support the use of active educational technologies, research tasks and cognitive independence in higher education.

The views of these Uzbek scholars make it possible to state that verification thinking grows where critical thinking, information culture and research activity are integrated. International scholarship strengthens this conclusion. Karl Popper's theory of falsifiability warns against the illusion that a scientific claim is proven simply by collecting confirming examples. John Dewey's theory of reflective inquiry shows that thinking begins with a problematic situation and develops through hypothesis, testing and reconstruction of experience. Peter Facione's critical thinking framework emphasizes interpretation, analysis, evaluation, inference, explanation and self-regulation. Together, these approaches show that verification thinking is both methodological and pedagogical: it depends on scientific criteria, but it is formed through organized educational situations.

2. Materials and Methods

This article used a complex methodological design corresponding to the interdisciplinary nature of the topic. The systemic approach was used to examine verification thinking not as a single operation but as a structured quality that includes motivational, epistemological, logical, methodological, analytical, communicative and reflective components. Through this approach, verification thinking was connected with the broader system of students' research competence. The competency-based approach was also applied because verification thinking becomes meaningful only when it appears in concrete academic actions: formulating problems, justifying hypotheses, selecting methods, evaluating evidence and defending conclusions.

The epistemological approach helped clarify the nature of verified knowledge and distinguish scientific justification from everyday opinion, authority-based acceptance and rhetorical persuasion. The logical-analytical method was used to examine the consistency of arguments, the relationship between premises and conclusions, and typical errors such as unsupported generalization or false causality.

The comparative method allowed the article to compare Uzbek and international views on critical thinking, research activity and reflective inquiry. The modeling method was used to describe verification thinking as a sequence of research actions: problem clarification, source verification, hypothesis construction, methodological justification, data interpretation, argument formation, peer discussion and reflective correction. Content analysis was used to identify recurring concepts in scientific-pedagogical literature, including evidence, reliability, validity, reflection, argument, academic integrity and independent thinking. Pedagogical generalization then transformed these theoretical ideas into educational implications. On this basis, the article proposes the use of annotated bibliographies, methodological diaries, source reliability tables, research

portfolios, hypothesis defense tasks, peer review sessions and reflective self-assessment. The methodological logic of the article is therefore built on continuity between theory and practice: theoretical methods explain the essence of verification thinking, while pedagogical methods show how it can be formed in student research activity.

3. Results

The analysis shows that scientific research activity forms verification thinking most effectively when it is organized as a continuous, guided and reflective process. The first result is the clarification of verification thinking as an integrative pedagogical category[7]. It includes the ability to identify the status of information, distinguish evidence from opinion, evaluate source reliability, test the logic of statements, choose adequate methods, interpret data cautiously and revise conclusions when new arguments appear. It is close to critical thinking but more specifically directed toward testing and substantiating knowledge claims

The second result is the determination of a pedagogical mechanism that connects research activity with verification thinking. At the topic selection stage, students verify relevance and researchability. At the literature analysis stage, they verify credibility, novelty and theoretical significance. At the hypothesis stage, they verify logical coherence and testability[8]. At the methodological stage, they verify the correspondence between method, object, subject and expected result. At the result interpretation stage, they verify reliability, limitations and alternative explanations. Repetition of these situations creates a stable habit of methodological self-control. The third result is the identification of pedagogical conditions that support this process[9].

4. Discussion

The problem of verification thinking becomes clearer through the polemics of foreign scholars who interpreted scientific cognition differently. Karl Popper criticized classical verificationism and argued that scientific theories cannot be finally proven true by accumulating confirming examples[10]. They must be formulated so that they can be tested and potentially refuted. For student research, this means that a learner who searches only for supporting evidence may practice confirmation bias rather than genuine verification[11].

Popper's position is pedagogically useful because it teaches students to look for counterarguments, alternative explanations and conditions under which their own claims might fail. John Dewey offers a different but complementary perspective. While Popper emphasizes the logical openness of claims to refutation, Dewey emphasizes inquiry as the reflective transformation of an uncertain situation into a warranted conclusion[12].

For Dewey, thinking begins with difficulty and develops through defining the problem, proposing hypotheses, testing consequences and reconstructing experience. In education, this view prevents verification from becoming only a formal search for errors. It shows that students also need to construct meaningful problems and learn through guided inquiry. The polemic between Popper and Dewey is productive because it shows that scientific education must combine critical refutation with constructive inquiry. If Popper's approach is taken alone, students may understand verification mainly as criticism and become afraid of proposing original ideas[13].

Artificial intelligence tools and online sources can produce fluent texts, but fluency does not guarantee truth, originality or methodological adequacy. Popper's logic reminds students that claims must be testable, while Dewey's pedagogy reminds them that inquiry must be meaningful and connected with experience[14]. Therefore, every student research task should include two questions: what evidence could challenge this claim, and how can this problem be investigated responsibly? Through such questions, verification thinking becomes an intellectual orientation that helps students work with uncertainty scientifically[15].

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the formation of verification thinking through scientific research activity is one of the key tasks of modern higher education. Verification thinking is not merely fact-checking; it is an academic quality that unites epistemological awareness, logical accuracy, methodological literacy, evidence-based reasoning, reflective self-control and ethical responsibility. It helps students transform information into justified knowledge and participate in academic communication as responsible subjects of inquiry. The article has shown that research activity creates favorable conditions for this development because each research stage requires testing and justification.

However, this process requires purposeful organization, clear criteria, teacher guidance, peer discussion and reflective assessment. Student research should therefore be designed as a sequence of verification-oriented learning situations rather than as a formal requirement. As a result, students become more prepared for independent research, professional decision-making, pedagogical innovation and lifelong learning. Verification thinking is therefore a strategic condition for developing a generation capable of thinking responsibly, critically and scientifically.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. A. Muslimov, M. H. Usmonboyeva, D. M. Sayfurov, and A. B. To'rayev, *Pedagogik kompetentlik va kreativlik asoslari*. Toshkent, O'zbekiston: Sano-standart, 2015.
- [2] N. A. Muslimov, "Kasb ta'limi o'qituvchisini kasbiy shakllantirishning nazariy-metodik asoslari," *Ped. fan. dok. diss.*, Toshkent, O'zbekiston, 2007.
- [3] U. Sh. Begimqulov, "Pedagogik ta'limda zamonaviy axborot texnologiyalarini joriy etishning ilmiy-nazariy asoslari," *Ped. fan. dok. diss.*, Toshkent: TDPU, 2007.
- [4] L. R. Djurayeva, "Talabalarda pedagogik ko'nikmalarni takomillashtirishda tanqidiy fikrlashni rivojlantirishning amaliy asoslari," *Inter Education & Global Study*, no. 4, pp. 475–482, 2025.
- [5] N. Musulmanova, "Talaba-yoshlarda ilmiy-tadqiqot ishlarini olib borish ko'nikmasini shakllantirish," *Uzbekistan Language and Culture*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2022.
- [6] M. A. Musurmonov, "Talabalarda ilmiy-tabiiy dunyoqarashning shakllanganligini aniqlashga qaratilgan me'zonlar," *Inter Education & Global Study*, no. 9, pp. 71–79, 2024.
- [7] O. R. X. Abdusattarovna and E. Shohbozbek, "Ijtimoiy falsafada zamonaviy pedagogik yondashuvlar asosida sog'lom turmush tarzini shakllantirish," *Global Science Review*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 175–182, 2025.
- [8] S. Abdunazarova, "Talabalarda o'z-o'ziga bo'lgan munosabatning psixologik xususiyatlari," *Scientific Practical Conference*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 249–252, 2025.
- [9] S. Ergashbaev, "O'zbekiston sharoitida uzluksiz ta'lim tizimi orqali yoshlarning ma'naviy dunyoqarashini rivojlantirish," *Obyedinyaya Studentov: Mejdunarodnye Issledovaniya i Sotrudnichestvo Mejdru Disciplinami*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 314–316, 2025.
- [10] N. Jovlieva, "Talabalarining ta'lim muhitida o'quv motivatsiyasini shakllantirishning psixologik mexanizmlari: integrativ yondashuv," *Integration of Education and Science: Global Challenges and Solutions*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 511–520, 2025.
- [11] N. A. Muslimov, M. H. Usmonboyeva, D. M. Sayfurov, and A. B. To'rayev, *Pedagogik kompetentlik va kreativlik asoslari*. Toshkent, O'zbekiston: Sano-standart, 2015.
- [12] U. Sh. Begimqulov, "Pedagogik ta'limda zamonaviy axborot texnologiyalarini joriy etishning ilmiy-nazariy asoslari," *Ped. fan. dok. diss.*, Toshkent: TDPU, 2007.
- [13] N. Musulmanova, "Talaba-yoshlarda ilmiy-tadqiqot ishlarini olib borish ko'nikmasini shakllantirish," *Uzbekistan Language and Culture*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2022.
- [14] M. A. Musurmonov, "Talabalarda ilmiy-tabiiy dunyoqarashning shakllanganligini aniqlashga qaratilgan me'zonlar," *Inter Education & Global Study*, no. 9, pp. 71–79, 2024.
- [15] N. Jovlieva, "Talabalarining ta'lim muhitida o'quv motivatsiyasini shakllantirishning psixologik mexanizmlari: integrativ yondashuv," *Integration of Education and Science: Global Challenges and Solutions*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 511–520, 2025.